

ITES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED & Patent and Trademark Office

#Address: COMMISSIONER: OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

1			FIRST NAMED APPLICAN	τ	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/962,615	02/08/2	001 h	Kaname Nak	ahaka.	216208 USB XPC
्रकार्या क्रांकि विकास है। स्वाक्ष्म क्रिकेट क्रिकेट इन्द्रिकेट क्रिकेट क्र	The Branch of the State of the	30 (4) 1 (4) 28 6 (4) (5) (5) (5) 3 (4) (6) (6) (6) (6)	in a supplier of the second se		EXAMINER HEIKH
ं १७८३ पेंटु असा इ.स्टरक कर्ण पेउसी हो।	o grafin i i komplete di la dis	errigitea in s	gligolinik indipan i jigdin	ART U	PAPER NUMBER See See See See See See See See See Se
ற்கோருக்கு முத்திரைக்கின்றத் நிருந்திரி நிருந்திரின்ற		NTERVIEW S	SUMMARY		LED: 30 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
ANNERS OF STATE OF STATE OF STATE	A Part to the Control of the	aller and display that is a second of the se		sa di Santa Santa Santa Manada da hasa da hasa da	্র মন্ত্রেক স্থান স্থান ক্রাক্টার্কের বিভাগ
participants (applicant, applican	nt's representative, PTO		est Will a sublemment	est martisticina	p ca ed ural mage is, and made cary as a president partient at type
HUMERA N SHE	irctf	(3)	TOM CE	WULLIGH	HAM STEEL ST
GOWA MUDI KIST	tope, ph.d.	(4)	Frankley and a Parker Lake you was the first	garan da sagaran sagar	The first of the second of the
e of Interview	lay zeo3	সাধিত ক'ল বিদ্যালয় স্থা 	en e		in die eine der der der der der der der der der de
: Telephonic Televide	eo Conference Deers	onal (copy is give		. ^	,
nibit shown or demonstration co	nducted: ☐ Yes 💯No	o If yes, brief de	escription:		rest the other open of the ope
	<u> </u>		to .	POPE <u>1944 - STALLE</u> 1945 - STALLES	्राच्या । ज्या १४६६ व ४ ४५ - ज्योसकार विकास प्रतिस्था । स्ट्रांस्ट्रिक । स्ट्रांस्ट्रिक ।
eement Dwas reached.	was not reached.	oporedo. C	alms necit	Sale was	e soluble polymer
				v	Ta 15 44
	: On record) '		en de la companya de La companya de la co	and the second of the second o
ntification of prior art discussed	: On heard)* 		en e	o de la companya de l La companya de la companya de
ntification of prior art discussed	and the second	an agreement wa	s reached, or any of	her comments	The teaching of Paist
ntification of prior art discussed	of what was agreed to if a				n 0 0 0
ntification of prior art discussed scription of the general nature of the general nature to the second of the seco	of what was agreed to if a	ryprence	teaches real	e Entrolling	membrane, but in co
ntification of prior art discussed scription of the general nature of the general nature to	of what was agreed to if a	ryprence	teaches real	e Entrolling	n 0 0 0
scription of the general nature of the general nature of the general nature of the drugs and does of teach the membrane	of what was agreed to it at the allowny, the office the matrix	embrane into too Applic	teaches real terms of specificant will define	e Controlling c Component with me.	membrane, but in co Hawever, the regions
scription of the general nature of sussed. According to drugs and does not teach the membrane water polymers water so wa	of what was agreed to if a the altorney the of define the material material and 4 necess	embrane into Applicant define	teaches real terms of specific and hill defi- th as poly VII	component	However, the region and definite drys to
scription of the general nature of sussed. According to drugs and does not teach the membrane water soluble polymers to description, if necessary, as to be attached. Also, where no	the allowing the allowing the allowing the allowing the second of new or and y new or and a copy of the amend	too Applicant define	teaches realisations of the poly Vin people dum	Component La the me, yla lookil g (Proposed her agreed would	membrane, but in constant in the nagrenal definition dry being to dry being to drender the claims allowable
scription of the general nature of scription of the membrane of the scription of the description, if necessary, as the scription of the general nature of the scription of th	the allowing the matrix and 4 recent of the amend of the amend of the amend on the amendment.	too Applications in the state of the state o	teaches realisement mildefunction mildefunction with an poly Vin perfect duminole, which the examination the claims allow	Comparent Lather me, Malohil Proposed ler agreed would wable is available	membrane, but in constant in the nagrenal definition dry being to dry being to drender the claims allowable
scription of the general nature of sussed. According to drugs and dress in teach the membrane water actually palyments to attached. Also, where no inched. It is not necessary for applications the paragraph above has become as a reready been filed, AF	of what was agreed to if a the attorney the attorney the art of and the area of the amendment of the amendme	too Aughter and defined and de	teach() rafemm p pecipical milder the claims allow abstance of the interest of	Component Component Later inc. Alaterial Later agreed would wable is available view. NAEPLY TO T Section 713.04	Havever, the region of the last Office
scription of the general nature of sussed. According to drugs and dress not be palmed to attach the membrane of the description, if necessary, as the description, if necessary, as the description, if necessary, as the paragraph above has both work walved and be standed. Also, where no inched.)	the allowing the allowing the allowing the allowing the allowing the amendment and a copy of the amendment and to provide a separate seen checked to indicate LUDE THE SUBSTANCE PLICANT IS GIVEN ON N.	dependence to the contrary. OF THE INTERIES MONTH FRO	teach() pale	Component Component The month A look Proposed ler agreed would vable is available N REPLY TO T Section 713.04 DATE TO FILE	Havever, the region of the days to claim, the day being directly the day being directly the claims allowable e, a summary thereof must be the LAST OFFICE ACTION. If a reply to the last Office A STATEMENT OF THE
scription of the general nature of sussed. According to drugs and dress not be palmed to attach the membrane of the description, if necessary, as the description, if necessary, as the description, if necessary, as the paragraph above has both work walved and be standed. Also, where no inched.)	the allowing the allowing the allowing the allowing the allowing the amendment and a copy of the amendment and to provide a separate seen checked to indicate LUDE THE SUBSTANCE PLICANT IS GIVEN ON N.	dependence to the contrary. OF THE INTERIES MONTH FRO	teach() pale	Confinent Confinent La the me, La label La greed would La	Havever, the region of the last Office
scription of the general nature of sussed. According to drugs and does not teach the membrane water polymers water south polymers.	of what was agreed to if a the allowing the allowing the allowing the amendment and a copy of the amendment copy of the amendment open checked to indicate LUDE THE SUBSTANCE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ON N. form unless it is an attain the cause of	dependence to the contrary. OF THE INTERIES MONTH FRO	teach() raf	Comparent	Havever, the region of the house of the dry being of render the claims allowable e, a summary thereof must be a STATEMENT OF THE CONTROL OF T

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Sction 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Mad f R cord

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face to face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be</u> <u>made of record in the application</u>, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview- --
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An Indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted

THE PART OF THE PARTY OF THE PA

- An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- -2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

ikt over grenger i kodus stelligt i bode til dels homels film i beholdgeret til i best

Walnut State